Introduction

This guide for Rheumatology is to help training programme directors (TPDs), supervisors, trainees and others with the practicalities of implementing the new curriculum. It is intended to supplement rather than replace the curriculum document itself. The curriculum, ARCP decision aid and this guide are available on the JRCPTB website.

The Rough Guide has been put together by members of the Rheumatology SAC with additional help from many external stakeholders especially trainees. It is intended to be a ‘living document’ and we value feedback via curriculum@jrcptb.org.uk.

What is different about the 2022 Rheumatology curriculum?

Background

There have been two major drives to the need for change. Firstly the move away from the ‘tick-box’ approach associated with the current competency-based curricula to the holistic assessment of high level learning outcomes. The new curriculum has a relatively small number of ‘capabilities in practice’ (CIPs) which are based on the concept of entrustable professional activities (EPAs). Secondly, the GMC has mandated that all postgraduate curricula must incorporate the essential generic capabilities required by all doctors as defined in the Generic Professional Capabilities (GPC) framework.

All JRCPTB specialties identified as group 1 will dual train in internal medicine (IM) and the IM learning outcomes have been embedded in the new Rheumatology curriculum. This curriculum will train doctors that are specialists with generalist skills to manage the acute unselected take and care of acutely ill patients.

Musculoskeletal imaging, in particular ultrasound, is a rapidly evolving field with significant clinical impact. Whilst the acquisition of ultrasound skills is not mandated in the new curriculum, the SAC strongly encourage trainees to take opportunities to develop their understanding and use of ultrasound where they arise.

Duration of training

Rheumatology and Internal Medicine higher specialty training will usually be completed in four years of full-time training. There will be options for those trainees who demonstrate exceptionally rapid development and acquisition of capabilities to complete training sooner than the indicative time. There may also be trainees who develop more slowly and will require an extension of training as indicated in the Reference Guide for Postgraduate Specialty Training in the UK (The Gold Guide).
The 2022 Rheumatology curriculum

This curriculum will ensure that the trainee develops the full range of generic professional capabilities and underlying knowledge and skills, specifically their application in the practice of internal medicine. It will also ensure that the trainee develops the full range of specialty specific core capabilities in rheumatology, with the underlying professional knowledge and skills.

By the end of their final year of higher specialty training, the trainee will receive a dual CCT in Rheumatology and Internal Medicine.

Capabilities in Practice (CiPs)

The Rheumatology capabilities in practice (CiPs) describe the professional tasks or work within the scope of the specialty. There are seven Rheumatology specialty CiPs, six generic CiPs shared across all physician specialties and eight internal medicine clinical CiPs shared across all group 1 specialties.

The generic CiPs cover the universal requirements of all specialties as described in the GPC framework. The generic CiPs are common across all physician specialties. Assessment of the generic CiPs will be underpinned by the GPC descriptors. Satisfactory sign off will indicate that there are no concerns.

The clinical CiPs describe the capabilities required for Internal Medicine. The specialty CiPs describe the professional tasks or work within the scope of Rheumatology.

Each CiP has a set of descriptors associated with that activity or task. Descriptors are intended to help trainees and trainers recognise the minimum level of knowledge, skills and attitudes which should be demonstrated for an entrustment decision to be made.

By the completion of training and award of CCT, the doctor must demonstrate that they are capable of unsupervised practice (level 4) in all clinical and specialty CiPs.

### Capabilities in practice (CiPs)

**Generic CiPs**

1. Able to successfully function within NHS organisational and management systems
2. Able to deal with ethical and legal issues related to clinical practice
3. Communicates effectively and is able to share decision making, while maintaining appropriate situational awareness, professional behaviour and professional judgement
4. Is focussed on patient safety and delivers effective quality improvement in patient care
5. Carrying out research and managing data appropriately
6. Acting as a clinical teacher and clinical supervisor to be assessed by DOPS
Internal Medicine Clinical CiPs

1. Managing an acute unselected take
2. Managing the acute care of patients within a medical specialty service
3. Providing continuity of care to medical inpatients, including management of comorbidities and cognitive impairment
4. Managing patients in an outpatient clinic, ambulatory or community setting, including management of long term conditions
5. Managing medical problems inpatients in other specialties and special cases
6. Managing a multi-disciplinary team including effective discharge planning
7. Delivering effective resuscitation and managing the acutely deteriorating patient
8. Managing end of life and applying palliative care skills

Specialty CiPs

1. Managing common rheumatologic disorders across multiple care settings
2. Managing rheumatologic emergencies
3. Managing complex rheumatologic disorders across multiple care settings
4. Managing transitional care, chronic pain, metabolic bone disease and rarer rheumatological disorders
5. Competent in all practical procedures for rheumatological conditions as defined by the curriculum
6. Managing and leading a musculoskeletal multidisciplinary team and coordination of care with other specialties
7. Ability to manage the interface with primary care and demonstrate effective relationships with primary care teams and patient groups

Evidence of capability

The curriculum describes the evidence that can be used by the educational supervisor to make a judgement of the trainee’s capability (please see the CiPs tables and the assessment blueprint). The educational supervisor will make a holistic judgement based on the evidence provided, particularly the feedback from clinical supervisors and the multidisciplinary team. The list of possible evidence shown for each CIP is not exhaustive and other evidence may be equally valid.

Presentations and Conditions

The curriculum provides guidance on the presentations and conditions which form the clinical context in which the capabilities are demonstrated. The presentation and conditions listed are either common or serious and trainees will be expected to know about these but they will not need to be signed off for individual items.

Practical Procedures
The curriculum and ARCP decision aid list the practical procedures required and the minimum level of competency. Once a trainee is competent to perform a procedure unsupervised (as evidenced by summative DOPS) there is no requirement for further assessment. It is a matter of professional insight and probity that a trainee should maintain their competency by carrying out the procedure when the opportunity arises. If a trainee has not performed a particular procedure for some time and no longer feels confident or competent to carry it out, then they should seek further training with appropriate supervision. Trainers should have ongoing conversation with trainees about procedural competence and this should be documented.

**Assessment: What is required from trainees and trainers?**

**Introduction**

Decisions about a trainee’s competence progression will be based on an assessment of how they are achieving their CiPs. For the generic CiPs it will be a straightforward statement as to whether they are operating at, above, or below level expected for the current year of training. For the IM clinical and specialty CiPs there will be a judgement made at what level of supervision they require (i.e. unsupervised or with direct or indirect supervision). For each of these CiP there is a level that is to be achieved at the end of each year in order for a standard outcome to be achieved at the Annual Review of Competence Progression (ARCP). The levels expected are given in the grid below and in the ARCP decision aid.

**What the trainee needs to do**

The requirements for an appropriate number of supervised learning events (SLEs) and workplace based assessments (WPBAs) are documented in the ARCP decision aid (see ARCP section below). SLEs and formative DOPS are not pass/fail summative assessments but should be seen by both trainer and trainee as learning opportunities for a trainee to have one to one teaching and receive helpful and supportive feedback from an experienced senior doctor. Trainees should therefore be seeking to have SLEs performed as often as practical. They also must continue to attend and document their teaching sessions and must continue to reflect (and record that reflection) on teaching sessions, clinical incidents and any other situations that would aid their professional development. They should record how many clinics they have attended and how many patients they have been involved with on the Acute Unselected Take in the summary of clinical activity form.

Each trainee must ensure that they have acquired multi-source feedback (MSF) on their performance each year and that this feedback has been discussed with their Educational Supervisor (ES) and prompted appropriate reflection. They also need to ensure that they have received a minimum of four reports from consultants who are familiar with their work and who will contribute to the Multiple Consultant Report (MCR). Each consultant contributing to the MCR will give an advisory statement about the level at which they assess the trainee to be functioning for each clinical CiP.
As the ARCP approaches, trainees need to arrange to see their ES to facilitate preparation of the ES report (ESR). They will have to self-assess the level at which they feel they are operating at for each CiP. In an analogous fashion to the MSF, this self-assessment allows the ES to see if the trainee’s views are in accord with those of the trainers and will give an idea of the trainee’s level of insight.

**Interaction between trainer and trainee**

Regular interaction between trainees and their trainers is critical to the trainee’s development and progress through the programme. Trainees will need to engage with their clinical and educational supervisors.

At the beginning of the academic year there should be a meeting with the ES to map out a training plan for the year. This should include;

- how to meet the training requirements of the programme, addressing each CiP separately
- a plan for taking the examination when appropriate
- a discussion about which resources are available to help with the programme
- develop a set of SMART Personal Development Plans (PDPs) for the training year
- a plan for using study leave
- use of the various assessment/development tools

The trainee should also meet with the clinical supervisor (CS), who may be the same person as the ES, to discuss the opportunities in the current placement including;

- develop a PDP including SMART objectives for the placement
- access to clinics and how to meet the learning objectives
- expectations for medical on-call
- expectations for inpatient experience
- expectations to gain experience in end-of-life care

Depending on local arrangements there should be regular meetings (we recommend approximately one hour most weeks) for personalised, professional development discussions which will include;

- writing and updating the PDP
- reviewing reflections and SLEs
- reviewing MCR and other feedback
- discussing leadership development
- discussing the trainee’s development as a physician and career goals
- discussing things that went well or things that went not so well

**Self-assessment**

Trainees are required to undertake a self-assessment of their progress with the curriculum and in particular the CiPs. This is not a ‘one-off’ event but should be a continuous process from induction to the completion of the programme and is particularly important to have
been updated ahead of the writing of the ES report and subsequent ARCP. Self-assessment for each of the CiPs should be recorded against the curriculum on the trainee’s ePortfolio account.

The purpose of asking trainees to undertake this activity is:

- To guide trainees in completing what is required of them by the curriculum and helping to maintain focus of their own development. To initiate the process it is important that the induction meeting with a trainee’s ES reviews how the trainee will use the opportunities of the coming academic year to best advantage in meeting the needs of the programme. It will allow them to reflect on how to tailor development to their own needs, over-and-above the strict requirements laid out in the curriculum
- To guide the ES and the ARCP panel as to how the trainee considers they have demonstrated the requirements of the curriculum as set out in the Decision Aid and where this evidence may be found in the trainee’s portfolio. This will help the ARCP panel make a more informed judgement as to the trainee’s progress and reduce the issuing of outcome 5s as a result of evidence not being available or found by the panel

**What the Educational Supervisor (ES) needs to do**

The educational supervisor and trainee should meet beforehand to plan what evidence will need to be obtained. This can be used by the ES to write an important and substantial ES report (ESR).

The ESR will be the central piece of evidence considered by the ARCP Panel when assessing whether the trainee has attained the required standard as set out in the Decision Aid. As such, both time and planning will need to be given to writing it; this process will need to start at the beginning of the training year.

**Educational Supervisor Report (ESR)**

The ESR should be written ahead of the ARCP and discussed between the supervisor and the trainee before the ARCP, with any aspects likely to result in a non-standard outcome at ARCP made clear. This conversation should be documented. The report documents the entrustment decisions made by the supervisor for all the CiPs set out in the curriculum. The decisions should be based on evidence gathered across the training year as planned at the Induction Meeting with the trainee and modified through subsequent, regular, professional development meetings. The evidence should be gathered from several sources as appropriate for the particular CiP.

In completing the ESR, assessments are made for each **generic CiP** using the following anchor statements:

| Below expectations for this year of training; may not meet the requirements for critical progression point |
| Meeting expectations for this year of training; expected to progress to next stage of training |
Above expectations for this year of training; expected to progress to next stage of training.

Comments must be made, as a minimum, for any rating of below expectation. It is good practice to narrate all decisions. The narration should include;

- Source of the evidence and its context, outlining contradicting evidence if appropriate
- Examples (of statements)
- Direction for future development/improvement

For the IM clinical and specialty CiPs, the ES makes a judgement using the levels of entrustment in the table below.

| Level 1: Entrusted to observe only – no provision of clinical care |
| Level 2: Entrusted to act with direct supervision: The trainee may provide clinical care, but the supervising physician is physically within the hospital or other site of patient care and is immediately available if required to provide direct bedside supervision |
| Level 3: Entrusted to act with indirect supervision: The trainee may provide clinical care when the supervising physician is not physically present within the hospital or other site of patient care, but is available by means of telephone and/or electronic media to provide advice, and can attend at the bedside if required to provide direct supervision |
| Level 4: Entrusted to act unsupervised |

Only the ES makes entrustment decisions. Detailed comments must be given to support entrustment decisions that are below the level expected. As above, it is good practice to provide a narrative for all ratings given.

Important Points

- Plan the evidence strategy from the beginning of the training year
- Write the report in good time ahead of the ARCP
- Discuss the ESR with the trainee before the ARCP
- Give specific, examples and directive narration for each entrustment decision

Types of Evidence

Local Faculty Groups (LFG)

This type of group has been recommended in training previously but is not universally implemented. If available this should be a group of senior clinicians (medical and non-medical) who get together to discuss trainees’ progress. The purpose is not only to make an assessment of an individual trainee but also to determine and plan on-going training. It is recommended again as an optimal way of providing information about trainees’ progress.
The LFG set-up will depend on the circumstances of the organisation. In smaller units the LFG make include all the physicians; while in larger units there may be several LFGs, each in a different department. In all circumstances, as a minimum, an LFG must be able to consider, direct and report on the performance of trainees in the acute medicine/on-call setting.

The LFG should meet regularly to consider the progress of each trainee and identify training needs, putting in place direction as to how these needs are to be met. This should be documented and communicated to trainee’s Educational Supervisor and hence to the trainee. A mechanism for this to happen should be established.

**Multi-Source Feedback (MSF)**

The MSF provides feedback on the trainee that covers areas such as communication and team working. It closely aligns to the Generic CiPs. Feedback should be discussed with the trainee. If a repeat MSF is required it should be undertaken in the subsequent placement.

**Multiple Consultant Report (MCR)**

The MCR captures the views of consultant (and other senior staff) based on observation of a trainee’s performance in practice. The MCR feedback gives valuable insight into how well the trainee is performing, highlighting areas of excellence and areas of support required.

The *minimum* number of MCRs considered necessary is four per training year

Consultant supervisors completing the MCR will use the global anchor statements [meets, below or above expectations] to give feedback on areas of clinical practice. If it is not possible for an individual to give a rating for one or more area they should record ‘not observed’. Comments must be made, as a minimum, for any rating of below expectation. It is good practice to narrate all decisions. The narration should include:

- Source of the evidence and its context, outlining contradicting evidence if appropriate
- Examples (of statements)
- Direction for future development/improvement

**Supervised Learning Events**

**Acute Care Assessment Tool (ACAT)**
The ACAT is used to provide feedback on a trainee’s performance when undertaking acute care, particularly the acute medical take. Its main focus is on multi-tasking, prioritisation and organisational skills. It should not be used to produce a “multiple Case Based Discussion”. Each ACAT should cover the care of a minimum of five patients.

**Case based Discussion (CbD)**
This tool is designed to provide feedback on discussions around elements of the care of a particular patient. This can include elements of the particular case and the general management of the condition. It is a good vehicle to discuss management decisions.
**Mini-Clinical Evaluation (mini-CEX)**

This tool is designed to allow feedback on the directly observed management of a patient and can focus on the whole case or particular aspects.

**Outpatient Care Assessment Tool (OPCAT)**

The Outpatient Care Assessment Tool (OPCAT) is designed to assess and facilitate feedback on a doctor's performance in outpatient settings to provide an indication of competence in areas such as confidentiality, history taking and examination, investigation and management plan and communication. The OPCAT is designed to be used in a single clinic whether that is face to face or virtual and may be used during a direct observation if the trainer is present or as an assessment at the end of a clinic. There is no minimum number of patients that should be seen although for a post clinic assessment it would be unusual if the trainee has seen fewer than three patients.

**Workplace-Based Assessments**

**Direct Observation of Procedural Skill (DOPS)**

This tool is designed to give feedback and assessment for trainees on how they have undertaken a procedural skill. This may be in a simulated or real environment. Formative DOPS may be undertaken as many times as the trainee and supervisor feel is necessary. A trainee can be signed off as able to perform a procedure unsupervised using the summative DOPS.

**Teaching Observation (TO)**

The TO form is designed to provide structured, formative feedback to trainees on their competences at teaching. The TO form can be based on any instance of formalised teaching by the trainee which has been observed by the assessor. The process should be trainee-led (identifying appropriate teaching sessions and assessors).

**Quality Improvement Project Assessment Tool (QIPAT)**

The QIPAT is designed to assess a trainee’s competence in completing a quality improvement project. The QIPAT can be based on a review of quality improvement documentation or on a presentation of the quality improvement project at a meeting. If possible, the trainee should be assessed on the quality improvement project by more than one assessor.

Guidance on how to assess QI skills and behaviours has been developed by the Academy of Medical Royal Colleges and is available via [this link](#).

**Examination**

**Specialty Certificate Examination (SCE)**
The Specialty Certificate Examination has been developed by the Federation of Royal Colleges of Physicians in conjunction with the British Society for Rheumatology. The examination tests the extra knowledge base that trainees have acquired since taking the MRCP(UK) diploma. The knowledge base itself must be associated with adequate use of such knowledge and passing this examination must be combined with satisfactory progress in workplace based assessments for the trainee to successfully reach the end of training and be awarded the CCT in Rheumatology. Information is available on the MRCPUK website.

Reflection

Undertaking regular reflection is an important part of trainee development towards becoming a self-directed professional learner. Through reflection a trainee should develop SMART learning objectives related to the situation discussed. These should be subsequently incorporated into their PDP. Reflections are also useful to develop ‘self-knowledge’ to help trainees deal with challenging situations.

It is important to reflect on situations that went well in addition to those that went not so well. Trainees should be encouraged to reflect on their learning opportunities and not just clinical events.

Suggested evidence for each CiP

The suggested evidence to inform entrustment decisions is listed for each CiP in the curriculum and ePortfolio. However, it is critical that trainers appreciate that trainees do not need to present every piece of evidence listed and the list is not exhaustive and other evidence may be equally valid.

Induction Meeting with ES: Planning the training year

Writing the ESR essentially starts with the induction meeting with the trainee at which the training year should be planned. The induction meeting between the ES and the trainee is pivotal to the success of the training year. It is the beginning of the training relationship between the two and needs both preparation and time. The induction meeting should be recorded formally in the trainee’s ePortfolio. The meeting should be pre-planned and undertaken in a private setting where both can concentrate on the planning of the training year. This is also a time for ES and trainee to start to get to know each other.

Ahead of the meeting review:

- Review Transfers of Information on the trainee
- Review previous ES, ARCP etc. reports if available
- Agree with the placement CSs how other support meetings will be arranged. Including;
  - Arrangements for LFGs or equivalent
  - Arrangements for professional development meetings
At the meeting the following need to be considered:

- Review the placements for the year
- Review the training year elements of the generic educational work schedule or its equivalent
- Construct the personalised educational work schedule for the year or its equivalent
- Construct the annual PDP and relevant training courses
- Discuss the trainee’s career plans and help facilitate these
- Discuss the use of reflection and assess how the trainee uses reflection and dynamic PDPs
- Discuss the teaching programme
- Discuss procedural simulation
- Discuss procedural skill consolidation
- Discuss arrangements for LTFT training if appropriate
- Plan additional meetings including the professional development meetings and the interaction with the placement CSs
- Planning of SLEs and WPBA
- Arrangements for MSF
- Review the ARCP decision aid
- Arrangements for Interim Review of Competence Progression (IRCP)
- Arrangements for ARCP and the writing and discussion of the ESR
- Pastoral support
- Arrangements for reporting of concerns
- Plan study leave

At the end of the meeting the trainee should have a clear plan for providing the evidence needed by the ES to make the required entrustment decisions.

Important Points

- Prepare for the meeting
- Make sure that knowledge of the curriculum is up-to-date
- Set up a plan for the training year

Induction Meeting with Clinical Supervisor (CS)

The trainee should also have an induction meeting with their placement CS (who may also be their ES). The meeting should be pre-planned and undertaken in a private setting where both can concentrate on the planning of the placement. This is also a time for CS and trainee to start to get to know each other.

Ahead of the meeting review the following should be considered;

- Review Transfers of Information on the trainee
- Review previous ES, ARCP etc. reports if available
• Arrangements for LFGs or equivalent

The following areas will need to be discussed, some of which will reinforce areas already covered by the ES but in the setting of the particular placement:
• Review the training placement elements of the generic educational work schedule or its equivalent
• Construct the personalized educational work schedule for the placement or its equivalent
• Construct the set of placement-level SMART objectives in the PDP
• Discuss the use of reflection and assess how the trainee uses reflection and dynamic PDPs
• Discuss procedural skill consolidation
• Discuss arrangements for LTFT training if appropriate
• Plan additional meetings including professional development meetings and the interaction with the placement CSs (depending on whether the ES or CS will be undertaking these)
• Arrangements for MSF
• Review the ARCP decision aid
• Pastoral support
• Arrangements for reporting of concerns
• Plan study leave

**Professional Development Meetings**
Trainers and trainees need to meet regularly across the training year. The GMC recommend an hour per week is made available for this activity. While it is not expected or possible for it to be an hour every week, the time not used for these meetings can be used to participate in LFG and ARCPs etc.

These meetings are important and should cover the following areas. This list is not exhaustive. Meet away from the clinical area regularly to:
• Discuss cases
• Provide feedback
• Monitor progress of learning objectives
• Discuss reflections
• Provide careers advice
• Monitor and update the trainee’s PDP
• Record meeting key discussion points and outcomes using the Educational Meeting form on the ePortfolio
• Record progress against the CiPs by updating the comments in the CiP section of the portfolio (this will make writing the ESR at the end of the year much easier)
• Provide support around other issues that the trainee may be encountering
Transition arrangements for trainees already in programme

The GMC’s policy statement on the transition of learners to a new curriculum sets out the requirements for doctors in training who are working towards a CCT to move to the most recent GMC approved curriculum and programme of assessment. The transition should be completed as soon as it is feasibly possible, taking account of patient and trainee safety whilst also balancing the needs of the service. Trainees and supervisors should discuss transition and refer to the curriculum and rough guide on the Rheumatology webpage and the JRCPTB transition guidance.

Guidance for trainees transferring to the new curriculum:

- Doctors in their final year of training (pro rata for less than full time trainees) are not required to transfer curriculum.
- It is recommended that trainees transfer at the start of the new training year and transition should be completed as soon as possible. Some cohorts of trainees may experience a greater impact than others and require longer to prepare for the transition. As a guide, the GMC considers two years from the implementation date to be a reasonable transition period for all trainees to have moved to a new curriculum.
- The curriculum version a trainee will be training to should be agreed and documented at the ARCP.
- Educational supervisors should agree individual transition plans with their trainees taking into account any specialty specific transition guidance detailed in the rough guide.
- The educational supervisor and trainee should review the new curriculum learning outcomes - 'capabilities in practice' - and identify any gaps that need to be addressed. This gap analysis will help deaneries to tailor the training programme to ensure the trainee encounters relevant learning experiences. Any additional training time and change to the CCT date should be agreed by the first ARCP.
- For some trainees there will be little difference between the current and new curriculum and the gap analysis will only need to be light touch.
- The 'record of gap analysis and transfer to new curriculum' form should be completed on the ePortfolio via the Educational Supervisor's login [Progression - Supervisor’s Report - Available Forms].
- Trainees will not be required to re-link or transfer evidence from the previous curriculum and should start using the new curriculum in their ePortfolio account.
- If a trainee is not in their final year or covered by the transition exemptions below but it is not in the interests of patient safety or impractical to support a trainee to move to the new curriculum, the trainee may remain on the curriculum in place prior to the new approval. This should be discussed with the training programme director and head of school and must be approved by the postgraduate dean. The reasons for not transferring must be documented.

Annual Review of Competence Progression (ARCP)

Introduction
The ARCP is a procedure for assessing competence annually in all medical trainees across the UK. It is owned by the four Statutory Education Bodies (Health Education England, NHS Education for Scotland, Health Education and Improvement Wales and Northern Ireland Medical & Dental Training Agency) and governed by the regulations in the Gold Guide. The JRCPTB can therefore not alter the way in which an ARCP is run but can provide guidance for trainees and trainers in preparing for it and guide panel members on interpretation of both curricular requirements and the decision aid when determining ARCP outcomes. Although receiving a non-standard ARCP outcome (i.e. anything but an outcome 1 or 6) should not be seen as failure, we know that many trainees are anxious about such an outcome and everything possible should be done to ensure that no trainee inappropriately receives a non-standard outcome.

The ARCP gives the final summative judgement about whether the trainee can progress into the subsequent year of training (or successfully complete training if in the final year). The panel will review the ePortfolio (especially the ES report) in conjunction with the decision aid for the appropriate year. The panel must assure itself that the ES has made the appropriate entrustment decisions for each CiP and that they are evidence based and defensible. The panel must also review the record of trainee experience to ensure that each trainee has completed (or is on track to complete over ensuing years) the various learning experiences mandated in the curriculum.

**Rheumatology training and the ARCP**

The change from the tick-box style competencies to the high-level Capabilities in Practice (CiPs) will have a major impact on how trainees are assessed and how they will progress through their ARCPs. It is vital we avoid an increase in trainees failing to achieve a standard ARCP outcome by helping trainees and trainers to prepare for the ARCPs and by stressing to ARCP panels the basis of their assessment. ARCP panel members must ask the question: “Overall, on reviewing the ePortfolio, including the Educational Supervisor report, the Multiple Consultant Reports, the Multi-Source Feedback and (if necessary) other information such as workplace based assessments, reflection etc, is there evidence to suggest that this trainee is safe and capable of progressing to the next stage of training?”

**Relationship with Educational Supervisor (ES)**

It is vital that the trainee and the ES develop a close working relationship and meet up as soon as possible after the start of training. At that meeting, the ES should discuss how the various curriculum requirements will be met and how evidence will be recorded to ensure that it can be demonstrated that the Capabilities in Practice have been achieved at the appropriate level. This meeting should also result in the production of a Personal Development Plan (PDP) consisting of a number of SMART objectives that the trainee should seek to achieve during that training year. The trainee should meet up with their ES on a number of other occasions during the training year so that the ES can be reassured that appropriate evidence is being accumulated to facilitate production of a valid ES report towards the end of the year and guide the trainee as to further evidence that might be required.
Clinical supervisor (CS)

The trainee should have a Clinical Supervisor for each attachment and once again the trainee should meet up with the CS at the start of the attachment. Similar discussions should be held with the CS as have been held with the ES and once again, a PDP with SMART objectives should be constructed for each attachment. At the end of the attachment, the CS should be well placed to complete a Multiple Consultant Report (MCR). The CS should also document the progress that the trainee has made towards completing all the objectives of the PDP.

The trainee should provide a MCR from each designated CS as they are best placed to provide such a report but in addition should approach other consultants with whom they have had a significant clinical interaction and ask them also to provide a MCR. Throughout the attachment the trainee should be having SLEs completed by both consultants and more senior trainees. The number of SLEs demanded by the decision aid should be regarded as an absolute minimum and additional ones should be sought because

- Although they are formative, not summative assessments, they do provide additional evidence to show that a trainee is acquiring clinical (and generic) capabilities
- They may give the trainee the opportunity to have additional one to one clinical teaching from a senior colleague
- They allow the excuse for trainees to receive targeted and constructive feedback from a senior colleague.

Completing reports

When completing reports, all consultants should do more than just tick a box and make some generic comment such as “good trainee”. It is important that they make meaningful comments about why they have assigned that particular level of performance/behaviour to that particular trainee. In doing this, the descriptors assigned to each CiP should be especially useful as an aide-memoire. They should specifically not be used as a tick list that requires a comment for each descriptor but should just allow the senior doctor completing the report to reflect on what comments would be helpful to the ES for completion of their report and to the ARCP panel in determining whether the trainee can progress to the next year of training. Constructive comments are also of course valued by the trainee. It is very helpful if the trainee can have constructive comments if they are progressing along the “normal” trajectory and especially if they are exceeding expectations either globally or in certain areas. If a trainee is performing below expectations then it is absolutely mandatory that meaningful, insightful and precise comments are provided.

ARCP preparation

As the ARCP approaches, it is essential that the trainee reviews their ePortfolio and ensures that all requisite information is available in a logical and accessible format. In particular they should ensure that:
• All appropriate certificates have been uploaded to the personal library and are clearly signposted
• An appropriate amount of reflection has been documented
• As a bare minimum (see comments above), the requisite number of SLEs (as demanded by the annual decision aid) has been completed and recorded in the ePortfolio
• MSF has been completed and the results released by the ES. It is critical that appropriate discussion/reflection has occurred and been recorded in response to the MSF
• MCR has been completed by each CS and additional ones have been completed by any supervisor with whom the trainee has had significant clinical/educational interaction
• The trainee has self-rated themselves for each CiP on the curriculum page
• The SMART objectives documented in their PDP have either been achieved fully and the evidence for that achievement has been clearly documented. If any objectives of the PDP have not been fully achieved, then the reasons for that have been clearly documented and evidenced.
• An appointment has been made with their ES to discuss the annual ES report that will inform the ARCP panel

The ES should review the portfolio to ensure that all the above requirements have been met and record a final rating for each CiP on the curriculum page. The ES should meet up with the trainee to discuss the ESR so that there are no surprises.

The ARCP

At the ARCP, the panel should review the ePortfolio and in particular it should focus on the ESR report but also review the MCRs, the MSF, the PDPs and reflection. It should also reassure itself that all the mandatory courses and exams have been attended/passed. If members of the panel have any concerns that the trainee under review is not eligible for a standard outcome (outcome 1 or outcome 6) then they should examine more detail in the ePortfolio and review more of the SLEs and other subsidiary information.
Rheumatology ARCP Decision Aid 2022

This decision aid provides guidance on the requirement to be achieved for a satisfactory ARCP outcome at the end of each training year. The training requirements for Internal Medicine (IMS2) are set out in the IMS2 ARCP decision aid. The ARCP decision aids are available on the JRCPTB website [https://www.jrcptb.org.uk/training-certification/arcp-decision-aids](https://www.jrcptb.org.uk/training-certification/arcp-decision-aids).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evidence / requirement</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Year 1 (ST4)</th>
<th>Year 2 (ST5)</th>
<th>Year 3 (ST6)</th>
<th>Year 4 (ST7)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Educational supervisor (ES) report</td>
<td>An indicative one per year to cover the training year since last ARCP (up to the date of the current ARCP)</td>
<td>Confirms meeting or exceeding expectations and no concerns</td>
<td>Confirms meeting or exceeding expectations and no concerns</td>
<td>Confirms meeting or exceeding expectations and no concerns</td>
<td>Confirms will meet all requirements needed to complete training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generic capabilities in practice (CiPs)</td>
<td>Mapped to <a href="https://www.jrcptb.org.uk/training-certification/arcp-decision-aids">Generic Professional Capabilities (GPC) framework</a> and assessed using global ratings. Trainees should record self-rating to facilitate discussion with ES. ES report will record rating for each generic CiP</td>
<td>ES to confirm trainee meets expectations for level of training</td>
<td>ES to confirm trainee meets expectations for level of training</td>
<td>ES to confirm trainee meets expectations for level of training</td>
<td>ES to confirm trainee meets expectations for level of training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialty capabilities in practice (CiPs)</td>
<td>See grid below of levels expected for each year of training. Trainees must complete self-rating to facilitate discussion with ES. ES</td>
<td>ES to confirm trainee is performing at or above the level expected for all CiPs</td>
<td>ES to confirm trainee is performing at or above the level expected for all CiPs</td>
<td>ES to confirm trainee is performing at or above the level expected for all CiPs</td>
<td>ES to confirm level 4 in all CiPs by end of training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence / requirement</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>Year 1 (ST4)</td>
<td>Year 2 (ST5)</td>
<td>Year 3 (ST6)</td>
<td>Year 4 (ST7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple consultant report (MCR)</td>
<td>report will confirm entrustment level for each CiP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>An indicative minimum number. Each MCR is completed by a consultant who has supervised the trainee’s clinical work. The ES should not usually complete an MCR for their own trainee *</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-source feedback (MSF)</td>
<td>An indicative minimum of 12 raters including 3 consultants and a mixture of other staff (medical and non-medical). MSF report must be released by the ES and feedback discussed with the trainee before the ARCP. If significant concerns are raised then arrangements should be made for a repeat MSF within a stipulated time frame.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervised learning events (SLEs):</td>
<td>An indicative minimum number to be carried out by consultants. Trainees are encouraged to undertake</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence / requirement</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>Year 1 (ST4)</td>
<td>Year 2 (ST5)</td>
<td>Year 3 (ST6)</td>
<td>Year 4 (ST7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute care assessment tool (ACAT)</td>
<td>more and supervisors may require additional SLEs if concerns are identified. Each ACAT must include a minimum of 5 cases. ACATs should be used to demonstrate global assessment of trainee’s performance on take or presenting new patients on ward rounds, encompassing both individual cases and overall performance (eg prioritisation, working with the team). It is not for comment on the management of individual cases.</td>
<td>4 CBDs</td>
<td>4 CBDs</td>
<td>4 CBDs</td>
<td>4 CBDs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervised Learning Events (SLEs): Case-based discussion (CbD) and/or mini-clinical evaluation</td>
<td>An indicative minimum number to be carried out (by consultants). Trainees are encouraged to undertake more and supervisors may require additional SLEs if concerns are identified. SLEs should be undertaken throughout the training year by a range of assessors.</td>
<td>4 CBDs</td>
<td>4 CBDs</td>
<td>4 CBDs</td>
<td>4 CBDs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence / requirement</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>Year 1 (ST4)</td>
<td>Year 2 (ST5)</td>
<td>Year 3 (ST6)</td>
<td>Year 4 (ST7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exercise (mini-CEX)</td>
<td>Structured feedback should be given to aid the trainee’s personal development and reflected on by the trainee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCE</td>
<td>Opportunity to attempt at this stage</td>
<td></td>
<td>Must have attempted at this stage</td>
<td>Should have ideally passed at this stage</td>
<td>Must have passed to obtain CCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced life support (ALS)</td>
<td>Must have valid ALS</td>
<td></td>
<td>Must have valid ALS</td>
<td>Must have valid ALS</td>
<td>Must have valid ALS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit/Quality improvement (QI) project</td>
<td>Project to be assessed with quality improvement project tool (QIPAT)</td>
<td>Evidence of participation in audit/QIP. Indicative evidence would include an audit proposal, audit report, evidence of involvement in the design and or/implementation of an audit</td>
<td>Evidence of completion of an audit – with major involvement in design, implementation, analysis and presentation of results and recommendations. Such evidence may be publication or presentation at formal meetings. Evidence may also include audit assessment tool</td>
<td>Satisfactory portfolio of audit involvement</td>
<td>Satisfactory portfolio of audit involvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence / requirement</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>Year 1 (ST4)</td>
<td>Year 2 (ST5)</td>
<td>Year 3 (ST6)</td>
<td>Year 4 (ST7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation</td>
<td>Simulation Teaching is increasingly used in various rheumatology centres and trainees must explore opportunities to enhance their training by accessing available resources.</td>
<td>Optional</td>
<td>Optional</td>
<td>Optional</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Evidence can be used towards SLEs</td>
<td>Evidence can be used towards SLEs</td>
<td>Evidence can be used towards SLEs</td>
<td>Evidence can be used towards SLEs</td>
<td>Evidence can be used towards SLEs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teaching attendance</td>
<td>An indicative minimum hours per training year.</td>
<td>25 hours</td>
<td>25 hours</td>
<td>25 hours</td>
<td>25 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>It is anticipated that the trainee will have attended a formal Teaching Course during HST</td>
<td>Evidence of participation in teaching of medical students, junior doctors and other AHPs</td>
<td>Evidence of participation in teaching with results of students’ evaluation of that teaching and teaching observations Evidence may include teaching observation tool Evidence of understanding of the principles of adult education. Evidence might include attendance at relevant courses, accredited qualifications in medical education</td>
<td>Portfolio evidence of ongoing evaluated participation in teaching Evidence of implementation of the principles of adult education Evidence may include teaching observation tool</td>
<td>Portfolio evidence of ongoing evaluated participation in teaching Evidence of implementation of the principles of adult education Evidence may include teaching observation tool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence / requirement</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>Year 1 (ST4)</td>
<td>Year 2 (ST5)</td>
<td>Year 3 (ST6)</td>
<td>Year 4 (ST7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient Survey (PS)</td>
<td>An indicative minimum of at least two PSs must be undertaken during HST in rheumatology. It is recommended that the PSs are performed in ST4 and in ST5/6</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td></td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research experience</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Evidence of critical thinking around relevant clinical questions. Such evidence might be via a formal research proposal, formal written work, participation within an existing research group</td>
<td>Evidence of developing research awareness and competence – participation in research studies, completion of “Good Clinical Practice” module, critical reviews, presentation at relevant research meetings or participation in (assessed) courses.</td>
<td>Satisfactory academic portfolio with evidence of research awareness and competence. Evidence might include a completed study with presentations/publication, a completed higher degree with research component (e.g. Masters) or a research degree (MD or PhD).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management experience</td>
<td>It is anticipated that the trainee will have attended a formal Management Course during the latter stages of HST</td>
<td></td>
<td>Evidence of participation in, and awareness of, some aspect of management – examples might include responsibility for organising rotas,</td>
<td>Evidence of awareness of managerial structures and functions within the NHS. Such evidence might include attendance at relevant courses, participation in relevant local</td>
<td>Evidence of understanding of managerial structures e.g. by reflective portfolio entries around relevant NHS management activities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Evidence / requirement | Notes | Year 1 (ST4) | Year 2 (ST5) | Year 3 (ST6) | Year 4 (ST7)
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---

| | | teaching sessions or journal clubs | management meetings with defined responsibilities. |

**Practical procedural skills**

Trainees must be able to outline the indications for the procedures listed in the table below and recognise the importance of valid consent, aseptic technique, safe use of analgesia and local anaesthesia, minimisation of patient discomfort, and requesting for help when appropriate. For all practical procedures the trainee must be able to appreciate and recognise complications and respond appropriately if they arise, including calling for help from colleagues in other specialties when necessary. Please see table below for minimum levels of competence expected in each training year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>ST4</th>
<th>ST5</th>
<th>ST6</th>
<th>ST7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Minimum level required</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mandatory</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large joint – knee, shoulder</td>
<td>Competent to perform unsupervised</td>
<td>Maintain</td>
<td>Maintain</td>
<td>Maintain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium joints – wrist, elbow and ankle</td>
<td>Satisfactory supervised practice</td>
<td>Competent to perform unsupervised</td>
<td>Maintain</td>
<td>Maintain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure</td>
<td>ST4</td>
<td>ST5</td>
<td>ST6</td>
<td>ST7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small joints</td>
<td>Satisfactory supervised practice</td>
<td>Satisfactory supervised practice</td>
<td>Competent to perform unsupervised</td>
<td>Maintain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft tissue injections</td>
<td>Satisfactory supervised practice</td>
<td>Satisfactory supervised practice</td>
<td>Competent to perform unsupervised</td>
<td>Maintain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recommended</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nail-fold capillaroscopy</td>
<td>Skills lab</td>
<td>Skills lab</td>
<td>Maintain</td>
<td>Maintain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polarising microscopy of synovial fluid for crystals</td>
<td>Skills lab</td>
<td>Skills lab</td>
<td>Skills lab</td>
<td>Maintain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasound-guided joint or soft tissue injections</td>
<td>Optional</td>
<td>Optional</td>
<td>Optional</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoroscopy-guided injections</td>
<td>Optional</td>
<td>Optional</td>
<td>Optional</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When a trainee has been signed off as being able to perform a procedure independently they are not required to have any further assessment (DOPS) of that procedure unless they or their educational supervisor think that this is required (in line with standard professional conduct).
## Table 1: Outline grid of levels expected for Internal Medicine clinical capabilities in practice (CiPs)

**Level descriptors**
Level 1: Entrusted to observe only – no clinical care  
Level 2: Entrusted to act with direct supervision  
Level 3: Entrusted to act with indirect supervision  
Level 4: Entrusted to act unsupervised

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IM Clinical CiP</th>
<th>ST4</th>
<th>ST5</th>
<th>ST6</th>
<th>ST7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Managing an acute unselected take</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Managing the acute care of patients within a medical specialty service</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Providing continuity of care to medical inpatients</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Managing outpatients with long term conditions</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Managing medical problems in patients in other specialties and special cases</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Managing an MDT including discharge planning</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Delivering effective resuscitation and managing the deteriorating patient</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Managing end of life and applying palliative care skills</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Outline grid of levels expected for Rheumatology specialty capabilities in practice (CiPs)

Levels to be achieved by the end of each training year for specialty CiPs

**Level descriptors**
- Level 1: Entrusted to observe only – no clinical care
- Level 2: Entrusted to act with direct supervision
- Level 3: Entrusted to act with indirect supervision
- Level 4: Entrusted to act unsupervised

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specialty CiP</th>
<th>ST4</th>
<th>ST5</th>
<th>ST6</th>
<th>ST7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Managing common rheumatologic disorders across multiple care settings</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Managing rheumatologic emergencies</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Managing complex rheumatologic disorders across multiple care settings</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Managing transitional care, chronic pain, metabolic bone disease and rarer rheumatological disorders</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Competent in all practical procedures for rheumatological conditions as defined by the curriculum</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Managing and leading a musculoskeletal multidisciplinary team and coordination of care with other specialties</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Ability to manage the interface with primary care and demonstrate effective relationships with primary care teams, patients and patient groups</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Training programme

The Rheumatology curriculum will be delivered alongside Internal Medicine stage two training over an indicative four years.

Add any specific guidance

Training resources links

JRCPTB webpage for Rheumatology

BSR (British Society for Rheumatology)

- BSR Events and Learning
- BSR eLearning
- BSR Guidelines
- BSR Journals
- Question bank for SCE (Specialty Certificate Examination)

EULAR (European Alliance of Associations for Rheumatology)

- EULAR Guidelines
- EULAR Live courses and Meetings
- EULAR Online courses

NICE Guidance, such as:

- NICE guideline [NG219] Gout: diagnosis and management
- Clinical guideline [CG177] Osteoarthritis: care and management
- Quality standard [QS149] Osteoporosis
- NICE guideline [NG100] Rheumatoid arthritis in adults: management
- NICE guideline [NG65] Spondyloarthritis in over 16s: diagnosis and management

Glossary of abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACAT</td>
<td>Acute Care Assessment Tool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALS</td>
<td>Advanced Life Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARCP</td>
<td>Annual Review of Competence Progression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSR</td>
<td>British Rheumatology Society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIP</td>
<td>Capabilities in Practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBBD</td>
<td>Case-based Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCT</td>
<td>Certificate of Completion of Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS</td>
<td>Clinical Supervisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Full Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOPS</td>
<td>Direct Observation of Procedural Skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA</td>
<td>Entrustable Professional Activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES</td>
<td>Educational Supervisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EULAR</td>
<td>European Alliance of Associations for Rheumatology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPC</td>
<td>Generic Professional Capabilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMC</td>
<td>General Medical Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMY1-3</td>
<td>Internal Medicine Year 1-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JRCPTB</td>
<td>Joint Royal Colleges of Physicians Training Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCR</td>
<td>Multiple Consultant Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini CEX</td>
<td>Mini Clinical Evaluation Exercise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSF</td>
<td>Multi-Source Feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NICE</td>
<td>National Institute for Health and Care Excellence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTN</td>
<td>National Training Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPCAT</td>
<td>Outpatient Care Assessment Tool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDP</td>
<td>Professional Development Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>Patient Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCE</td>
<td>Specialty Certificate Examination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLE</td>
<td>Supervised Learning Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPBA</td>
<td>Workplace Based Assessment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>